



CASE PRESENTATION

Intermittent left bundle branch block - a diagnostic dilemma

Larisa Anghel¹, Cătălina Arsenescu Georgescu^{1,2}

Abstract: Introduction – Intermittent left bundle branch block (LBBB) has been reported in the literature following certain conditions such as cardiac blunt trauma, myocardial infarction or exercise induced LBBB. The patients usually have underlying coronary artery disease. LBBB often prevents the electrocardiographic diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction; therefore, new LBBB in the setting of chest pain is usually treated as transmural myocardial infarction. **Case presentation** – We present the case of a 49 years old man who presented with intermittent LBBB associated with chest pain. Due to high suspicion of acute coronary syndrome he underwent emergency coronary angiography, which revealed 40% spasm in the left anterior descending artery, relieved by nitroglycerin infusion. No other significant coronary artery disease was noted. When the LBBB reverted to normal sinus rhythm the electrocardiographic aspect was mimicking a preexcitation syndrome, that is why we conducted an electrophysiological study which revealed normal infrahisian conduction, without anterograde or retrograde accessory pathways. The electrocardiographic abnormalities were in retrospect likely due to cardiac memory. **Conclusion** – This case represents a diagnostic and therapeutic challenge for interdisciplinary practitioner physicians and a cardiac magnetic resonance imaging may be the perfect strategy to elucidate the cause of the intraventricular conduction disturbance. **Keywords:** intermittent left bundle branch block, myocardial ischemia, coronary angiography, preexcitation syndrome, chest pain

Rezumat: Introducere – Blocul de ram stâng intermitent poate apărea secundar unui traumatism cardiac, unui infarct miocardic sau indus de efort, pacienții având de cele mai multe ori afectare vasculară coronariană. Frecvent însă blocul de ram stâng poate prezice diagnosticul de infarct miocardic acut, astfel încât un bloc de ram stâng nou apărut în contextul unei dureri anginoase trebuie tratat ca un infarct miocardic acut cu supradenivelare de segment ST. **Prezentarea cazului** – Prezentăm cazul unui pacient de sex masculin în vârstă de 49 ani care s-a adresat clinicii pentru evaluarea unei dureri cu caracter anginos însoțită de bloc major de ram stâng intermitent. Având în vedere suspiciunea ridicată de sindrom coronarian acut am decis evaluarea coronarografică care a evidențiat un spasm de 40% la nivelul arterei descendente anterioare, spasm remis la administrarea de Nitroglicerină, fără alte leziuni coronariene asociate. În momentul remiterii blocului de ram stâng, aspectul electrocardiografic era sugestiv de sindrom de preexcitație, motiv pentru care am hotărât efectuarea unui studiu electrofiziologic care a decelat conducere infrahisiană normal, fără căi accesorii anterograde sau retrograde, ceea ce ne-a determinat să considerăm aspectul electrocardiografic secundar memoriei cardiace. **Concluzii** – Acest caz reprezintă o provocare diagnostică și terapeutică pentru medicul cardiolog, rezonanța magnetică cardiacă putând fi considerată strategia diagnostică perfectă pentru elucidarea cauzei tulburării de conducere intraventriculare.

Cuvinte cheie: bloc de ramură stângă intermitent, ischemie miocardică, angiografie coronariană, sindrom de preexcitație, angină pectorală.

INTRODUCTION

Intermittent left bundle branch block is an uncommon conduction disturbance with only few cases reported in the literature, mainly following myocardial ischemia or cardiac blunt trauma¹.

Bundle branch block (BBB) may occur in a variety of conditions and may be chronic or intermittent in nature. Rate-related LBBB exhibits a wide spectrum of clinical association; however its significance is yet to be made with certainty. LBBB is often a marker of one of the four underlying conditions associated with increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality – coronary artery disease (CAD), hypertensive heart disease, aortic valve disease, and cardiomyopathy. Rate-dependent LBBB likewise, may be a manifestation of CAD or myocardial dysfunction, but it can even occur with normal coronaries². There are only few cases reported in the literature with coronary vasospasm as a leading cause of intermittent LBBB³.

We report a case of intermittent LBBB who posed diagnostic dilemma and difficulties in decision making.

¹ Cardiovascular Diseases Institute "Prof. Dr. George I. M. Georgescu" Iași, România Discipline of Cardiology

² University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Grigore T. Popa" Iași, România

Contact address:

Larisa Anghel, Cardiovascular Diseases Institute "Prof. Dr. George I. M. Georgescu", Carol I Avenue, 50, Iași, România, mobile: +40.743.834.567 E-mail: larisa_med86@yahoo.com, fax: +40.232.219.270 or +40.232.267.602.

CASE PRESENTATION

We present the case of a 49 year old hypertensive man, presented to the hospital with 10 days history of intermittent chest pain. He described the pain as severe retrosternal, non-exertional, non-radiating, lasting for 20-30 min and associated with severe diaphoresis and shortness of breath. It used to occur 3-5 times a day and relieved at rest. There was no history of fever, orthopnoea, syncope or peripheral edema. Past history of similar chest discomfort was absent. The patient had 15 pack year's history of smoking, occasional alcohol intake and no illicit drug use.

On physical examination, he looked pale and anxious during the attack but was hemodynamically stable, blood pressure of 130/90 mmHg, pulse of 70 bpm, with normal saturation on room air. Cardiac exam showed normal heart sounds with regular rate and rhythm, there was no jugular venous distention, pulses were strong and equal in all limbs, there was no edema in the lower extremities and lungs were clear. He was subjected to standard baseline investigations and was shifted to Intensive Cardiac Care Unit for continuous cardiac monitoring. His 12 lead electrocardiogram revealed complete LBBB with a HR of 96 bpm during the episode of chest pain (**Figure 1**).

Except for a mild liver stasis (ALAT 97 mg/dl, ASAT 197 mg/dl), his blood tests, including laboratory evaluation of Troponine-I, complete blood count and biochemical profile were normal. In order to elucidate the cause of liver stasis we performed an abdominal ultrasound which revealed a fatty liver, without other liver or gallbladder disease, and the blood tests for hepatitis C and B were normal. His chest X-ray was normal and echocardiography showed a mildly dilated left ventricle with normal wall thickness and function. No significant valvular abnormalities were detected. Troponine-I



Figure 1. Electrocardiogram during the episode of chest pain revealing complete LBBB with a HR of 96 bpm.

was repeated at 6 hours and 12 hours but all turned out to be negative.

Because of ongoing angina and new left bundle branch block, he was sent for a coronary angiography which revealed non-obstructive coronary artery disease, only a 40% cardiac muscle bridge in the second segment of the anterior descending artery (**Figure 2**).

During the hospitalization, the LBBB reverted to normal sinus rhythm with antero-lateral T wave abnormalities, as the pain subsided and heart rate touched the normal range (**Figure 3**).

This electrocardiographic aspect was suggestive of a severe intraventricular conduction disturbance, mimicking a preexcitation syndrome. That is why we conducted an electrophysiological study which revealed an intermittent left bundle branch block with normal



Figure 2. Coronarography with a 40% cardiac muscle bridge in the second segment of the anterior descending artery.



Figure 3. Electrocardiogram out of the episode of chest pain revealing normal sinus rhythm with antero-lateral T wave abnormalities.

infrahisian conduction, without supraventricular or ventricular arrhythmias or anterograde or retrograde accessory pathways. His chest pains may have been caused by the intermittent, rate-related LBBB, as control of his heart rate and blood pressure with metoprolol and ramipril improved the symptoms on follow-up. The ECG abnormalities were in retrospect likely due to cardiac memory, but in order to elucidate the cause of the intraventricular conduction disturbance, we recommended a cardiac magnetic resonance imaging.

DISCUSSION

This is a complex case of intermittent LBBB in a patient presenting with suspicion of acute coronary syndrome, who posed a diagnostic dilemma. Recognition of this rate-dependent LBBB is of paramount importance because it needs to be distinguished from a patient with new-onset LBBB with ischemic chest pain, which forms an indication for acute revascularization therapy^{4,5}. Intermittent, rate-dependent LBBB occurs due to prolongation of the refractory period of the main left bundle branch or both, the anterior and posterior fascicles together. Once the heart rate slows and the RR interval is longer than the refractory period of the conduction pathway, normal conduction can occur. It was once felt that this prolongation of the refractory rate is usually due to intrinsic disease of the cardiac conduction pathway. However, other causes, such as myocardial dysfunction secondary to myocarditis, cardiomyopathy, left ventricular hypertrophy, valvular disease and ischemic causes need to be considered⁵.

The critical heart rate at which normal sinus rhythm converts to LBBB is often higher than the rate at which it disappears. Surawicz et al6 revealed that patients with intermittent LBBB may have transient T-wave inversion when ECG reverts to normal sinus rhythm because of repolarization abnormality. This abnormal T wave may be pronounced and consideration must be made that it could reflect critical LAD occlusion. These T-wave changes are similar to those after termination of chronic right ventricular pacing (left bundle-branch pattern), suggesting that both patterns of abnormal ventricular activation can produce abnormal repolarization when activation returns to normal.

Before the advent of coronary angiography, ratedependent BBB was considered to result from coronary artery disease⁷, especially anteroseptal ischemia. Vieweg et al.⁸ reported intermittent LBBB in patients with normal coronary arteries. Similarly, Virtanen et al.⁹ also found rate-dependent LBBB in patients with chest pain having normal coronary arteriogram. Myocardial perfusion imaging revealed reversible perfusion defects in the absence of stenotic CAD in patients with LBBB and angina pectoris¹⁰.

Our paper demonstrates the importance of a complete examination of all causes of intermittent rate-dependent LBBB. Unlike the aforementioned cases of intermittent LBBB in patients with normal coronary arteries, even if our patient had non-obstructive coronary artery disease, we decided to conduct an electrophysiological study in order to elucidate the electrocardiographic aspect suggestive of a preexcitation syndrome. Even in the absence of anterograde or retrograde accessory pathways and the fact that the ECG abnormalities may be due to cardiac memory, we recommended a cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in order to better elucidate the cause of the intraventricular conduction disturbance.

Recognition of this electrocardiographic entity is of importance since it could mislead the emergency physician and may lead to errors in decision making while dealing with a case of chest pain with new-onset or intermittent LBBB. Serial ECGs may help to distinguish the two abnormalities here¹¹.

However, one randomized controlled study of patients with intermittent LBBB suggests that there exists a relationship between intermittent LBBB and acute coronary syndrome, and that it may be an independent prognostic marker for CAD. Exercise-induced LBBB has also been shown to be a strong predictor for major cardiac events¹². It is postulated that patients who present with typical anginal chest pain and rate-dependent LBBB at a heart rate of <125/min is usually indicative of ischemic heart disease, whereas those who develop LBBB at heart rate of >125/min are generally cases of non CAD¹³. In our case, the patient presented left bundle branch block at a heart rate of 96/min and the coronary angiography revealed non-obstructive coronary artery disease, only a 40% cardiac muscle bridge in the second segment of the anterior descending artery.

Though the lone presence of intermittent LBBB may not always be considered to reflect acute ischemia, our case report here highlights that it is imperative for the attending physician to keep a high index of suspicion for coronary artery disease even with negative cardiac biomarkers.

CONCLUSIONS

The particularity of our case represent the diagnostic of an intermittent left bundle branch block in a patient without obstructive coronary artery disease, with an electrocardiographic aspect in normal sinus rhythm suggestive of a preexcitation syndrome, unconfirmed in the electrophysiological study. This case represents a diagnostic and therapeutic challenge for interdisciplinary practitioner physicians and a cardiac magnetic resonance imaging may be the perfect strategy to elucidate the cause of the intraventricular conduction disturbance.

Although the patient chest pains may have been caused by the intermittent, rate-related LBBB, as control of his heart rate and blood pressure improved the symptoms on follow-up, an important issue remains the possibility to elucidate the cause of the intraventricular conduction disturbance using a cardiac magnetic resonance imaging.

Conflict of interest: We declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Neeland IJ, Kontos MC, de Lemos JA. Evolving considerations in the management of patients with left bundle branch block and suspected myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;60(2):96-105.
- 2. Liu L, Okamura T, Kadowaki T, Murakami Y, Hozawa A, Kita Y, Takashima N, Okuda N, Okayama A, Ueshima H. Bundle branch block

and other cardiovascular disease risk factors: US-Japan comparison. Int J Cardiol 2010;143(3): 432-440.

- 3. Alhaji M. Intermittent left bundle branch block caused by coronary vasospasm. Avicenna J Med 2013;3 (2): 50-52.
- 4. Steg G, James SK, Atar D et al. ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: the Task Force on the management of ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J 2012;33: 2569–619.
- Nikoo MH, Aslani A, Jorat MV. LBBB: State-of-the-art criteria. Int Cardiovasc Res J 2013;7(2): 39-40.
- 6. Surawicz B. Transient T wave abnormalities in intermittent bundle branch block. The American Journal of Cardiology 1982;50: 363-64.
- Vasey C, O' Donnel J, Morris S et al. Exercise induced left bundle branch block and its relation to coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiol 1985;56: 892-895.
- Vieweg W, Stanton K, Alpert J, Hagan A. Rate dependent left bundle branch block with angina pectoris and normal coronary arteriograms. Chest 1976;69: 123-124.
- 9. Virtanen K, Heikkila J, Kala R et al. Chest pain and rate dependent left bundle branch block in patients with normal coronary arteriograms. Chest 1982;81(3): 326-331.
- Kumar V, Venkataraman R, Aljaroudi W et al. Implications of left bundle branch block in patient treatment. Am J Cardiol 2013;111: 291-300.
- Mansoor M, Mugmon M. Intermittent left bundle branch block. J Community Hosp Intern Med Perspect 2012; 2(3): 10.3402/jchimp. v2i3.19037.
- 12. Grady T, Chiu A, Snader C et al. Prognostic significance of exerciseinduced left bundle branch block. JAMA 1998; 279:153-157.
- 13. Hertzeanu H, Aron L, Shiner RJ et al. Exercise dependent complete left bundle branch block. Eur Heart J 1992; 13(11):1447-1451.